# Same Sex Harassment is not Excluded under the Michigan Civil Rights Act (MCRA)

By Caroline Grech-Clapper

In a case of first impression of whether samegender hostile work environment claims are recognized under the Michigan Civil Rights Act (MCRA), the Michigan Court of Appeals in *Robinson v Ford Motor Company v Darren Smith* affirmed the trial court's decision that rejected defendant's claims that (1) plaintiff's same-gender hostile work environment claim is not cognizable under the MCRA, and that (2) a claim cannot be sustained when the harasser is heterosexual.

Plaintiff alleged that a male co-worker sexually harassed him while working in defendant's plant. Plaintiff alleged that he was harassed for two years from his co-worker's conduct of slapping his buttocks, pinching his nipples, pulling down plaintiff's pants to expose his underwear, defendant's exposing his testicles to another co-worker while grasping plaintiff's hand and attempting to or actually making plaintiff touch them, and while his co-worker placed his hands in plaintiff's pants by placing his finger between plaintiff's buttocks. Defendant co-worker also offered to show plaintiff his penis and asked plaintiff about the size of his penis. The co-worker also allegedly told plaintiff that he wanted to see his "naked butt" in a vat of KY jelly and that "You're my bitch, I own your ass." In March 2003, Plaintiff allegedly suffered a breakdown, after two consecutive days where defendant digitally penetrated plaintiff's mouth with a dirty glove, by jumping on his back with an erection and forcing his fingers down plaintiff's throat. Plaintiff reported all of the incidents to his supervisors.

## **SECREST WARDLE NOTES:**

This case is a good example of what is more than horseplay between men. It is important to note that a sexual harassment claim can be made by a same-sex offender. Under this case of first impression, sexual horseplay and sexual joking around are not excluded from sexual discrimination claims. It is recommended that you consider educating your employees, and particularly your managers, about this case and the expanded boundaries of sexual harassment.

## CONTINUED...

Defendant moved for dismissal arguing that the sexual horseplay by a heterosexual male directed against another male was outside the statutory definition of sexual harassment. The Appellate Court rejected defendant's arguments and relied on the United States Supreme Court's decision of *Oncale v Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc*, 523 US 75 (1998), which held that sexual harassment extends to any kind of sexual harassment and does not exclude same-sex harassment. The Court further held that proof of the harasser's sexual desire is not necessary.

# CONTACT US

## Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040 Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040 Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

#### Mt. Clemens

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48083-5651 Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

# Lansing

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917 Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

#### Grand Rapids

2025 East Beltline, S.E., Ste. 209, Grand Rapids, MI 49546 Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

#### Champaign, IL

2919 Crossing Court, Ste. 11, Champaign, IL 61822-6183 Tel: 217-378-8002 Fax: 217-378-8003

#### www.secrestwardle.com



Copyright 2007 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton, Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

# CONTRIBUTORS

**Employment Law Practice Group Chair** 

Bruce Truex

Editor

Erene Golematis

We welcome your questions and comments.

## OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for On the Job, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at marketing@secrestwardle.com, or 248-539-2850.

#### Other newsletters include:

Benchmarks - Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice

Blueprints - Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry

Boundaries - A guide for property owners and insurers in a litigious society

Community Watch – Breaking developments in governmental litigation Contingencies – A guide for dealing with catastrophic property loss

Fair Use - Protecting ideas in a competitive world

In the Margin – Charting legal trends affecting businesses Industry Line – Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation Landowners' Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers

No-Fault Newsline - A road map for motor vehicle insurers and owners On the Beat – Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement Safeguards – Helping insurers protect their clients

State of the Art – Exploring the changing face of product liability

Structures – A framework for defending architects and engineers

Update Illinois - Current trends in Illinois law Vital Signs – Diagnosing the changing state of medical malpractice and

nursing home liability