

# no-fault newsline

A ROAD MAP FOR MOTOR VEHICLE INSURERS AND OWNERS

03.15.10

## The Uninsured, The Unidentified And The Unwilling

By Michael K. Faust, II

The Court of Appeals affirmed a trial court's decision to dismiss an uninsured motorist claim because Plaintiff willfully refused to attend a presuit examination under oath (EUO).

Plaintiff Lashaunda Graves was injured in a motor vehicle accident while driving her mother's vehicle. The other vehicle involved was owned, but not driven by, Jami Lessard. Lessard alleged in a police report that her vehicle had been stolen. Lessard stated that she had no knowledge of the identity of the driver. The insurer of Lessard's vehicle denied liability for Plaintiff's injuries on the basis that the vehicle had been reported as stolen and Plaintiff's mother was denied her request for payment of her collision deductible. Contrary to the police report filed by Lessard, Plaintiff's mother informed her State Farm insurance agent that Lessard's vehicle had not been stolen and that Lessard knew the identity of the driver.

### **SECREST WARDLE NOTES:**

When dealing with a contractual claim for uninsured motorist benefits it is important to review the policy terms and conditions. If a claimant fails to comply with the requirements of the policy it may be a basis for dismissal of the lawsuit.

Plaintiff subsequently made a claim for uninsured motorist benefits with State Farm. Based upon conflicting information, State Farm requested that Plaintiff and her mother submit to an EUO as required under the policy. The policy contained language that anyone insured or otherwise claiming uninsured motorist benefits "must, at our option, submit to an examination under oath, provide a statement under oath, or do both, as reasonably after as we require." The EUO was scheduled, however, Plaintiff's counsel stated "that they were unwilling to submit to an EUO, and would be filing suit."

Plaintiff's law suit commenced and State Farm filed a Motion for Summary Disposition based upon Plaintiff's lack of cooperation and failure to attend the scheduled EUO as required by the policy. The trial court granted State Farm's motion. The court found that Plaintiff had acted willfully in not meeting the requirements of the policy and that the policy language was not contradictory. Therefore, Plaintiff was not excused from complying with the request for an EUO just because her claim was initially denied. The trial court dismissed Plaintiff's case.

### CONTINUED...

The Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's dismissal of Plaintiff's case with prejudice given that the trial court found Plaintiff acted willfully. Graves v. State Farm Ins. Co., (unpublished COA No. 289822, February 25, 2010). The Court further stated that the policy language "unambiguously requires the insured to submit to an EUO at State Farm's request, and states that legal action may not be brought against State Farm until the insured fully complies with the policy's provisions." Id. Michigan case law holds that when an insured does not permit oral examination when required to do so, recovery under the policy is barred. Citing Yeo v. State Farm Ins. Co., 219 Mich App 45.

### CONTACT US

### Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040 Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040 Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

### Mt. Clemens

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043-5651 Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917 Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

### **Grand Rapids**

2025 East Beltline, S.E., Ste. 209, Grand Rapids, MI 49546 Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

www.secrestwardle.com



Copyright 2010 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton, Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

### CONTRIBUTORS

Motor Vehicle Litigation Practice Group Chairs

Thomas J. Azoni John H. Cowley, Jr.

### Editor

Bonny Craft

We welcome your questions and comments.

### OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for No-Fault Newsline, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at swsubscriptions@secrestwardle.com or 248-539-2850.

### Other newsletters include:

Benchmarks - Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice

Blueprints - Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry

Boundaries - A guide for property owners and insurers in a litigious society

Community Watch - Breaking developments in governmental litigation

Contingencies - A guide for dealing with catastrophic property loss

Fair Use – Protecting ideas in a competitive world In the Margin – Charting legal trends affecting businesses

Industry Line - Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation

Landowner's Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers

On the Beat - Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement

On the Job - Tracking developments in employment law

Safeguards - Helping insurers protect their clients

Standards - A guide to avoiding risks for professionals

State of the Art – Exploring the changing face of product liability

Structures - A framework for defending architects and engineers

Vital Signs - Diagnosing the changing state of medical malpractice and nursing home liability