A GUIDE FOR PROPERTY OWNERS AND INSURERS IN A LITIGIOUS SOCIETY

06.02.10

# Another Surprise from Supreme Court: Black Ice Can Be "Open and Obvious"

By Mark Masters

In Janson v. Sajewski Funeral Home, \_ Mich \_ (2010), the Michigan Supreme Court held that an alleged "black ice" condition in Defendant's parking lot was open and obvious based on prevailing weather conditions, and dismissed Plaintiff's lawsuit.

Plaintiff alleged he slipped and fell on "black ice" in Defendant's parking lot in the early evening and broke his ankle. There had been light precipitation earlier in the day with below freezing temperatures all day long. A witness testified that roads had been icy in the morning and salt trucks had been out. Defendant's parking lot had been largely cleared of snow, and it had been salted in the morning because it had been icy. By the afternoon, Defendant's operator believed there was no ice remaining in the lot. A witness testified that he had difficulty walking through the lot due to patches of "black ice ... everywhere in the parking lot" shortly before Plaintiff's fall. Plaintiff had been to the funeral home numerous times before, and was walking from his car to the entrance when he fell. Plaintiff testified that he slipped and fell on a patch of ice about five to six feet wide, and that he did not encounter any other ice in the lot. Defendant's operator did not "see any ice per se," but found the area of the fall "a little bit on the slick side." A witness to the fall believed Plaintiff slipped on one of the patches of ice in the parking lot.

## **SECREST WARDLE NOTES:**

This decision is another big surprise from the "new" Michigan Supreme Court. This is the second defense victory on the open and obvious defense from the Court in the last week. Again, there is a majority of four Justices finding in favor of the defense, with the rest either dissenting or voting to deny hearing the case.

In *Janson*, the Court went so far as to admonish the Court of Appeals for "failing to adhere to the governing precedent" established in a previous Court of Appeals case on the issue of "black ice."

Presently, it appears that the open and obvious defense will continue to be a strong defense to many premises liability claims despite the recent electoral victory of Justice Hathaway over former Justice Clifford Taylor.

The trial court dismissed the case based on the open and obvious defense. The Court of Appeals reversed, finding that the condition was not open and obvious, and that "black ice" would almost never be open and obvious.

In reversing the Court of Appeals and reinstating the dismissal of the case, the Supreme Court held that "black ice" may be open and obvious based on "indicia of a potentially hazardous condition." Such indicia included the specific weather conditions present at the time of Plaintiff's fall.

"Here, the slip and fall occurred in winter, with temperatures at all times below freezing, snow present around the Defendant's premises, mist and light freezing rain falling earlier in the day, and light snow falling during the period prior to the

## CONTINUED...

Plaintiff's fall in the evening. These wintery conditions by their nature would have alerted an average user of ordinary intelligence to discover the danger upon casual inspection. ... Moreover, the alleged condition did not have any special aspect. It was avoidable and not unreasonably dangerous."

The Supreme Court decided this case based on the application to appeal, and not on a full scale appeal. Justice Kelly dissented, and Justices Cavanagh and Hathaway would have denied leave to appeal.

# CONTACT US

## Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040 Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040 Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043-5651 Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917 Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

### **Grand Rapids**

2025 East Beltline, S.E., Ste. 209, Grand Rapids, MI 49546 Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

www.secrestwardle.com



Copyright 2010 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton, Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

# CONTRIBUTORS

Premises Liability Practice Group Chair

Premises Liability Practice Group Co-Chair Caroline Grech-Clapper

Bonny Craft

We welcome your questions and comments.

## OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for Boundaries, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at swsubscriptions@secrestwardle.com or 248-539-2850.

## Other newsletters include:

Benchmarks - Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice Blueprints – Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry Community Watch – Breaking developments in governmental litigation Contingencies - A guide for dealing with catastrophic property loss Fair Use – Protecting ideas in a competitive world In the Margin - Charting legal trends affecting businesses

Industry Line - Managing the hazards of environmental toxic tort litigation Landowner's Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers No-Fault Newsline – A road map for motor vehicle insurers and owners On the Beat – Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement

On the Job - Tracking developments in employment law Safeguards – Helping insurers protect their clients Standards – A guide to avoiding risks for professionals

State of the Art - Exploring the changing face of product liability Structures - A framework for defending architects and engineers

Vital Signs - Diagnosing the changing state of medical malpractice and nursing

home liability