



8.12.04

Evidence of Successful Appeal Required in Legal Malpractice Case

By Jeffery S. Matis

In the case of *Tull v. State Appellate Defender Office*, the Michigan Court of Appeals clarified the burden of proof that a plaintiff must establish in order to prevail in a legal malpractice suit involving an appeal of the underlying case.

In *Tull*, Defendant represented Plaintiff in the appeal of Plaintiff's felony murder conviction. Plaintiff's appeal was dismissed by the Michigan Court of Appeals because it was not timely filed by the Defendant. Plaintiff then sued Defendant for legal malpractice and alleged that, but for the negligence of the Defendant, in failing to timely file an appeal, Plaintiff would have succeeded in the appeal of the criminal conviction.

The Michigan Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court dismissal of Plaintiff's case and held that, in order for a Plaintiff to prevail in a legal malpractice case arising out of an appeal, the Plaintiff must establish that the appellate court: (1) would have had jurisdiction to hear the appeal, (2) would have granted review, when review is discretionary, and (3) would have modified the trial court's ruling.

SECREST WARDLE NOTES:

This case is relevant because it establishes that, in order for a plaintiff to prevail in a legal malpractice case, that arises out of an appeal, the plaintiff must present admissible evidence that establishes that the appellate court would have heard plaintiff's appeal, and plaintiff would have been successful in the appeal.

If you have any legal malpractice cases involving an underlying appeal, discovery should be conducted to determine what admissible evidence plaintiff will rely upon to establish that plaintiff would have been successful in the appeal. Barring the production of any such evidence, counsel should file a motion to dismiss the plaintiff's case.

CONTINUED...

Specifically, the *Tull* appeals court held that the Plaintiff's case was rightfully dismissed where the Plaintiff failed to plead any facts or come forward with any admissible and competent evidence to establish that Plaintiff would have prevailed on appeal in the underlying case. The fact that Plaintiff's complaint contained conclusory assertions concerning Plaintiff's success on appeal was insufficient and did not meet Plaintiff's burden of proof.

Finally, the Court of Appeals held that for a Plaintiff to meet his or her burden of proof and create a fact question, concerning whether the Plaintiff would have prevailed on appeal in the underlying case, the Plaintiff must rely on expert witness opinion testimony that the appeal would have been granted and successfully resulted in a favorable decision for the Plaintiff.

CONTACT US

Farmington Hills

30903 Northwestern Highway, P.O. Box 3040 Farmington Hills, MI 48333-3040 Tel: 248-851-9500 Fax: 248-851-2158

Mt. Clemens

94 Macomb Place, Mt. Clemens, MI 48043-5651 Tel: 586-465-7180 Fax: 586-465-0673

Lansing

6639 Centurion Drive, Ste. 130, Lansing, MI 48917 Tel: 517-886-1224 Fax: 517-886-9284

Grand Rapids

1550 East Beltline, S.E., Ste. 305, Grand Rapids, MI 49506-4361 Tel: 616-285-0143 Fax: 616-285-0145

Champaign, IL

2919 Crossing Court, Ste. 11, Champaign, IL 61822-6183 Tel: 217-378-8002 Fax: 217-378-8003

www.secrestwardle.com



Copyright 2004 Secrest, Wardle, Lynch, Hampton, Truex and Morley, P.C.

This newsletter is published for the purpose of providing information and does not constitute legal advice and should not be considered as such. This newsletter or any portion of this newsletter is not to be distributed or copied without the express written consent of Secrest Wardle.

CONTRIBUTORS

Professional Liability Practice Group Chair Mark E. Morley

Legal Malpractice Practice Group Chair Jeffery S. Matis

Editor

Carina Carlesimo

We welcome your questions and comments.

OTHER MATERIALS

If you would like to be on the distribution list for Benchmarks, or for newsletters pertaining to any of our other practice groups, please contact Secrest Wardle Marketing at ccarlesimo@secrestwardle.com, or 248-539-2850.

Other newsletters include:

Blueprints – Mapping legal solutions for the construction industry
Boundaries – A guide for property owners and insurers in a litigious society
No-Fault Newsline – A road map for motor vehicle insurers and owners
On the Job – Tracking developments in employment law
On the Beat – Responding to litigation affecting law enforcement
Industry Line– Managing the hazards of environmental toxic and tort litigation
Landowners' Alert – Defense strategies for property owners and managers
Community Watch – Breaking developments in governmental litigation
State of the Art – Exploring the changing face of product liability
In the Margin – Charting legal trends affecting businesses
Fair Use – Protecting ideas in a competitive world
Benchmarks – Navigating the hazards of legal malpractice

Vital Signs - Diagnosing the changing state of medical malpractice and

nursing home liability